Efficacy, safety, and quality of life 5 years after valoctocogene roxaparvovec gene transfer

Leavitt AD[1], Mahlangu J[2], Raheja P[3], Symington E[4], Quon DV[5], Giermasz A[6], Kenet G[7], Lowe G[8], Key NS[9], Millar CM[10,11], Pipe SW[12], Chou S-C[13], **Klamroth R[14,15]**, Mason J[16,17], Chambost H[18], Peyvandi F[19,20], Majerus E[21], Pepperell D[22], Chavele KM[23], Ozelo MC[24]

[1]Adult Hemophilia Treatment Center, Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; [2]Hemophilia Comprehensive Care Center, Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital, University of the Witwatersrand and NHLS, Johannesburg, South Africa; [3]The Royal London Hospital Haemophilia Centre, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK; [4]Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK; [5]Orthopaedic Hemophilia Treatment Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; [6]Hemophilia Treatment Center, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, USA; [7]The National Hemophilia Center and Amalia Biron Research Institute of Thrombosis and Hemostasis, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; [8]West Midlands Adult Haemophilia Comprehensive Care Centre, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK; [9]UNC Blood Research Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; [10]Centre for Haematology, Imperial College London, London, UK; [11]Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK; [12]Departments of Pediatrics and Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; [13]Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; [14]Vascular Medicine and Haemostaseology, Vivantes Klinikum im Friedrichshain, Berlin, Germany; [15]Institute of Experimental Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, University Hospital Bonn, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; [16]Queensland Haemophilia Centre, Cancer Care Services, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; [17]University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; [18]AP-HM, Department of Pediatric Hematology Oncology, Children Hospital La Timone & Aix Marseille University, INSERM, INRA, C2VN, Marseille, France; [19]Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Angelo Bianchi Bonomi Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center, Milan, Italy; [20]Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Milan, Italy; [21]Hematology Division, Department of Medicine, Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO, USA; [22]Department of Haematology, Fiona Stanley Hospital, Murdoch, WA, Australia; [23]BioMarin UK Ltd, London, UK; [24]Hemocentro UNICAMP, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Valoctocogene roxaparvovec for severe hemophilia A

Valoctocogene roxaparvovec (AAV5-hFVIII-SQ) is a liver-directed gene therapy that transfers a FVIII coding sequence to enable FVIII production in people with severe hemophilia A (FVIII ≤1 IU/dL)[1-4]

As previously shown, participants who received $6x10^{13}$ vg/kg valoctocogene roxaparvovec had improved protection from bleeds compared with regular FVIII prophylaxis over 4 years[1-4]

Here, we present the final outcomes of the phase 3 GENEr8-1 trial 5 years after gene transfer



1. Ozelo M, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;386(11):1013-25. 2. Mahlangu J, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2023;388:694-705. 3. Madan B, et al. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2024;22:1880-93.
4. Leavitt A, et al. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost*. 2024;8:e102615.
FVIII, factor VIII.

Study design

Eligibility

- Adult men with severe hemophilia A (FVIII ≤1 IU/dL)
- Previously receiving FVIII prophylaxis
- No history of FVIII inhibitors or anti-AAV5 antibodies
- No significant liver dysfunction, fibrosis, or cirrhosis

Endpoints

- FVIII activity (mITT population)
- Change from baseline (rollover population)
  - Annualized bleeding rate
  - Annualized FVIII infusion rate
- Safety (ITT population)
- Quality of life (mITT population)



The ITT population included all participants who received an infusion of valoctocogene roxaparvovec. The mITT population included all HIV-negative participants in the ITT population.
The rollover population included all participants who rolled over from 207-902, a noninterventional study.
FVIII, factor VIII; ITT, intention-to-treat; LTFU, long-term follow-up; mITT, modified ITT; W, week.

Results

Participant disposition

- Overall, 128 of 134 participants completed the 5-year study



HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; ITT, intention-to-treat; mITT, modified ITT.

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics	Rollover population N = 112	mITT N = 132	ITT N = 134
Age, years, mean (range)	31.8 (19–70)	31.4 (18–70)	31.7 (18–70)
Race, n (%)			
White	78 (69.6)	94 (71.2)	96 (71.6)
Asian	17 (15.2)	19 (14.4)	19 (14.2)
Black or African American	14 (12.5)	15 (11.4)	15 (11.2)
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1 (0.9)	1 (0.8)	1 (0.7)
Not provided	2 (1.8)	3 (2.3)	3 (2.2)
Hispanic or Latino ethnicity, n (%)	5 (4.5)	7 (5.3)	7 (5.2)
BMI, kg/m^2, mean ± SD	25.2 ± 4.7	25.3 ± 4.6	25.3 ± 4.6
Medical history, n (%)			
Hepatitis B	17 (15.2)	18 (13.6)	20 (14.9)
Hepatitis C	33 (29.5)	39 (29.5)	41 (30.6)
HIV	0	0	2 (1.5)
Number of problem joints,[a] n (%)			
0	82 (73.2)	95 (72.0)	97 (72.4)
1	13 (11.6)	17 (12.9)	17 (12.7)
2	9 (8.0)	9 (6.8)	9 (6.7)
3	6 (5.4)	8 (6.1)	8 (6.0)
>3	2 (1.8)	3 (2.3)	3 (2.2)

[a]Problem joints were those with chronic joint pain, chronic synovitis, hemophilic arthropathy, limited motion, or recurrent bleeding.
BMI, body mass index; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; mITT, modified ITT; ITT, intention-to-treat; SD, standard deviation.

No new safety signals in year 5

ITT population (N = 134)

- In year 5, no new safety signals were reported
  - Low-grade, transient alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevations remained the most common AE
  - There were no treatment-related serious AEs
- Across the entire trial, there were no treatment-related malignancies
- No participants developed FVIII inhibitors or experienced thromboembolic events

AE, adverse event; FVIII, factor VIII; ITT, intention-to-treat

No new safety signals in year 5

ITT population (N = 134)

Participants, n (%)		Year 1 (N = 134)	Year 2 (N = 134)	Year 3 (N = 132)	Year 4 (N = 131)	Year 5 (N = 129)	All follow-up
AEs		134 (100.0)	112 (83.6)	104 (78.8)	98 (74.8)	102 (79.1)	134 (100.0)
SAEs		21 (15.7)	6 (4.5)	9 (6.8)	11 (8.4)	4 (3.1)	37 (27.6)
Treatment-related AEs[a]		124 (92.5)	27 (20.1)	15 (11.5)	10 (7.6)	5 (3.9)	124 (92.5)
Glucocorticoid-related AEs[a]		81 (60.4)	10 (7.5)	1 (0.8)	1 (0.8)	0	82 (61.2)
AEs of special interest	ALT elevation	116 (86.6)	39 (29.1)	31 (23.7)	49 (37.4)	52 (40.3)	125 (93.3)
	ALT elevation ≥grade 3	10 (7.5)	1 (0.7)	0	0	0	10 (7.5)
	Potential Hy's law case	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Infusion-related reactions[b]	12 (9.0)	0	0	0	0	12 (9.0)
	Systemic hypersensitivity	7 (5.2)	0	0	0	0	7 (5.2)
	Anaphylactic or anaphylactoid reactions	3 (2.2)	0	0	0	0	3 (2.2)
	Thromboembolic events	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Anti-FVIII neutralizing antibodies	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Malignancy (except nonmelanoma skin cancer)	0	0	1 (0.8)	0	0	1 (0.7)

[a]Treatment-related and glucocorticoid-related AEs were assessed by the investigator.
[b]Infusion-related reactions were defined as AEs occurring during valoctocogene roxaparvovec infusion or within 6 hours post-infusion.
AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; FVIII, factor VIII; ITT, intention-to-treat; SAE, serious AE.

ALT elevation and glucocorticoid use

ITT population (N = 134)

- In year 5, 63 (48.8%) participants had an ALT elevation >1.5x baseline and 23 (17.8%) participants had an ALT elevation above the upper limit of normal
- Since year 2, no participants have used glucocorticoids to manage ALT elevations

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; FVIII, factor VIII; ITT, intention-to-treat

FVIII activity (CSA) was nearly stable compared to year 4

mITT population (N = 132)



For participants who discontinued the study, missing FVIII values post-discontinuation were imputed as 0 IU/dL through the data cutoff date.
CSA, chromogenic substrate assay; FVIII, factor VIII; mITT, modified intention-to-treat; Q, quartile; SE, standard error.

Most participants remain in the mild hemophilia range

mITT population (N = 132)



For participants who discontinued the study, missing FVIII values post-discontinuation were imputed as 0 IU/dL through the data cutoff date.
CSA, chromogenic substrate assay; FVIII, factor VIII; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; mITT, modified intention-to-treat.

Reduction in treated bleeds was maintained over 5 years

Rollover population (N = 112)



n =	112	112	110	110	110	108	112



n =	112	112	112	110	110	108	112

Missing data were not imputed.
ABR, annualized bleeding rate; CI, confidence interval; Q, quartile; SD, standard deviation.

Reduction of FVIII infusion rate was maintained over 5 years

Rollover population (N = 112)



Missing data were not imputed.
AFR, annualized FVIII infusion rate; CI, confidence interval; FVIII, factor VIII; Q, quartile; SD, standard deviation.

Most participants continue to remain off prophylaxis at year 5

ITT population (N = 134)

81.3% of participants remain off prophylaxis at the end of year 5 (25/134 have resumed)



ITT, intention-to-treat.

Consistent improvements in Haemo-QOL-A were maintained

mITT population (N = 132)



*P <0.05; **P <0.001 based on a 2-tailed t-test against the null hypothesis of no change from baseline. Data after resuming prophylaxis were excluded.
CI, confidence interval; CID, clinically important difference; Haemo-QOL-A, Haemophilia-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire for Adults; mITT, modified intention-to-treat.

Conclusions

FVIII activity was maintained
- After 5 years, mean FVIII activity was in the mild hemophilia range (chromogenic, 13.7 IU/dL; one-stage, 24.0 IU/dL)

Durable hemostatic efficacy
- The rate of treated bleeds and FVIII infusions was reduced compared with FVIII prophylaxis 5 years after infusion, consistent with durable hemostatic efficacy

Most (81.3%) participants continue to remain off prophylaxis and experience a favorable impact on treatment burden 5 years after infusion
- Since the last data cut, only 1 additional participant resumed prophylaxis in year 5

For participants who did not return to prophylaxis, clinically meaningful improvements in health-related quality of life were maintained over 5 years

No new safety signals
- Across the trial, the most common adverse event was mild, transient ALT elevation

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; FVIII, factor VIII.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to all trial participants, their families, study-site personnel, and investigators.

We thank Hua Yu, PhD, of BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc. for her critical review.



Funding for this study was provided by BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc.

Project management support was provided by Gillian Clague, CMPP, of BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc.

Medical writing support was provided by Amin Ghane, PhD, of AlphaBioCom, a Red Nucleus company, and funded by BioMarin Pharmaceutical Inc.

Scan for a digital copy of this presentation